

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

KAZ AIRK JOSHUA MCKENZIE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON; U.S. ,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-99

DISMISSAL ORDER

Pro se Plaintiff Kaz Airk Joshua McKenzie pursues this action in forma pauperis (IFP). Dkt. No. 5. After reviewing the sufficiency of his complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court found that McKenzie failed to state a claim on which relief may be granted, and that he sought monetary relief against defendants who are immune from such relief. Dkt. No. 8. But rather than dismissing his case, the Court granted McKenzie leave to amend his complaint and ordered him to submit an amended complaint within 30 days. *Id.* The Court expressly warned that “[f]ailure to do so will result in dismissal of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).” *Id.* at 7.

1 The time to respond has passed, and McKenzie has not complied with the  
2 Court's Order to submit an amended complaint. *See* Dkt. As such, the Court  
3 DISMISSES this action without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) for failure  
4 to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

5 It is so ORDERED.

6 Dated this 15th day of November, 2024.

7 

8 Jamal N. Whitehead  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23